Date: December 8, 2009

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
V. Christopher Ramone McCoy Defendant	Case No. 1:09 CR 290
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, that the defendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – Findings o	of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is	
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) which the prison term is 10 years or more.), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	rs or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had been convidue. U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	cted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim	
the possession or use of a firearm or destrue a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	e of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has no	
Alternative Findings (A)	
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has cor	
for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more i	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	<u>'</u> .*
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.	
Alternative Findings (B) (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	afety of another person or the community.
Part II – Statement of the Reas	sons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detenti	ion hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	
defendant has been indicted on drug conspiracy charges involving an	
25 years old, with a questionable employment history and a history of cocaine drug felonies, possession or use of marijuana, malicious desti	
numerous traffic citations. All of defendant's felony convictions are from the last 18 months. His record of committing new	
felonies while on probation is exceedingly poor: he committed three new felonies only 7 months after being placed on	
probation, and then was charged with four new offenses (including a dreleased from custody. He is presently on felony probation. 18 USC se	
Part III – Directions Regard	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gene	_
corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awa appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to co	niting or serving sentences or held in custody pending onsult privately with defense counsel. On order of United
States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the perso	n in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the
defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.	

Judge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge